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Abstract

In this paper, the active control and time delay control are applied on a nonlinear mechanical system subjected to external force to reduce the resulted vibration. The system is modeled by a unique nonlinear differential equation. The multiple scale perturbation technique (MSPT) was applied to obtain an approximate solution and showing the response equation. The stability of the system at primary resonance case is investigated using both of phase plane and frequency response equation. Numerical solution is obtained using Runge–Kutta forth order method. Also, MATLAB 14.0 and Maple 18.0 programs were used to study the numerical solution and the effect of the different parameters for the response of the nonlinear dynamic mechanical system.
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1 Introduction

In recent years, several investigations have reported how to control the vibration of dynamical systems. The dynamic absorber is one of the most common methods of vibration control that it has low cost, simple operation and taking advantage of the saturation phenomenon. This phenomenon has been observed in the forced vibrations of coupled two degrees of freedom systems with quadratic nonlinearities in the presence of both internal and primary resonances. Vazquez-Gonzalez and Silva Navarro [1] discussed the dynamic response and nonlinear frequency analysis of a damped Duffing system attached to an autoparametric pendulum absorber which is operating under the external and internal resonance conditions. They deduced that it is possible to reduce the amplitude responses of the primary and secondary systems for excitation frequencies close to the exact tuning.

Eissa et al. [2] reported the results of studying the vibration reduction of a nonlinear spring pendulum subjected to multi external and parametric excitations. They investigated that the vibration of a ship pitch-roll motion can be reduced using a longitudinal absorber. Active absorber for non-linear vibrating system subjected to external and parametric forces is investigated by Sayed and Kamel [3]. Sado [4] described the numerical simulation of a nonlinear two-mass auto parametric system with elastic pendulum hangs down from the flexible suspended body.

Wenzhi and Zhiyong [5] studied active control of torsional vibration of a large turbo-generator. They found that full state feedback control with linear quadratic regulator (LQR) has significant effectiveness on attenuation of torsional vibration energy and response of the turbo-generator’s shaft system.

Amer et al. [6] used two active control laws based on the linear negative velocity and acceleration feedback and showed that the acceleration feedback was good for the main system. Hegazy and Salem [7] presented the numerical and perturbation solutions of an inclined beam to external and parametric forces with two different controllers, positive position feedback (PPF) and nonlinear saturation controllers (NSC) and found that the (NSC) one is an effective controller.

El-Gohary and El-Ganaini [8] studied applying time delay absorber to suppress chaotic vibrations of a beam under multi-parametric excitations. They concluded that the vibration of the main system can be reduced. They showed that time-delay effect on the frequency response curves is trivial. Maccari [9] investigated the periodic solutions for parametrically excited system under state feedback control with a time delay. He has derived two slow-flow equations that is governing the amplitude and phase of approximate long time response. Elnaggar and Khalil [10] investigated the response of nonlinear system subjected to external excitation controlled by the appropriate choice of feedback gains and two distinct time delays. They found that a suitable choice of the feedback gains and time-delays can enlarge the critical force amplitude, and reduce the peak amplitude of the response (or peak amplitude of the free oscillation term) in the case of primary resonance or in the case of super harmonic resonance. El-Bassiouny and El-Kholy [11] discussed the resonances of a nonlinear single-degree-of-freedom system with time delay in linear feedback control. They observed from the frequency-response curves of primary resonance that the response amplitude loses stability to increase time delay.

A study for (NSC) is presented by Hamed and Amer [12] that used to suppress the vibration amplitude of a structural dynamic model simulating nonlinear composite beam at simultaneous sub-harmonic and internal resonance excitation. Kamel et al. [13] studied the active vibration control of a nonlinear magnetic levitation system via (NSC). Warminske et al. [14] presented an application of (NSC) algorithm for a self-excited strongly nonlinear beam structure driven by an external force. The results showed that the increase in controller damping may cancel the undesirable instability. Amer [15] investigated the behavior of the coupling of two non-linear oscillators of the system and absorber representing ultrasonic cutting process subjected to parametric excitation. He showed that the steady state amplitude of the main system is a monotonic increasing function of the excitation force amplitude up to a saturation value. The multiple scales method was used by Ebrahim et al. [16] to perform a nonlinear vibrational analysis of a sliding pendulum in
two cases with dry and lubricated clearance joint. They investigated that in the primary resonance analysis both increases the dynamic lubricant viscosity and decreases the amplitude in the vicinity of the linear natural frequency as expected.

Amer and Abd Elsalam [17] studied the stability of a nonlinear two-degree of freedom system subjected to multi excitation forces at simultaneous primary and internal resonance case. They deduced that the steady state amplitude is monotonic increasing function of the excitation force amplitude increased and is a monotonic decreasing of the damping coefficient. The study of forced nonlinear vibrations of a simply supported Euler-Bernoulli beam resting on a nonlinear elastic foundation with quadratic and cubic nonlinearities with the homotopy analysis method has presented by Shahlaei-Far et al. [18]. The derived closed-form solution of the amplitude yields frequency response curves for various values of the quadratic and cubic nonlinearity coefficients presenting their softening/hardening-type effect on the distributed-parameter system.

Wang et al. [19] investigated the dynamic response and bifurcation characteristics of blades with varying rotating speed. The results of the paper showed the interaction of the fluid and the structure that the opposite varying trends for the amplitudes and phase angles with respect to the system parameters; indicate the energy transfer between the vibrations of the fluid and the structure. Hamed et al. [20] investigated the nonlinear vibrations and stability of the MEMS gyroscope subjected to different types of parametric excitations. They applied an active vibration controller to reduce the resulted vibration. A multi-modal flexible wind turbine model with variable rotor speed has been formulated by Staino and Basu [21] using a Lagrangian approach. They analyzed the effect of the rotational speed on the edgewise vibration of the blades. They deduced according to the numerical results which have been presented in their paper, a considerable deterioration of the structural response of the blade could occur; causing by the variations in the rotational speed due to an electrical fault.

Shao et al. [22] studied the effect of time-delayed feedback controller on the dynamics of electrostatic MEMS resonators. They compared the results of the perturbation method to the shooting technique and the basin-of-attraction analysis. They found that the shooting technique performs well in predicting the global stability for the resonator under negative gain control. In a MEMS system, Daqaq et al. [23] again used the method of multiple of scales to define a first-order nonlinear approximate solution, which was employed to redefine the impulse sequence of a ZV input shaper to minimize residual oscillation in a torsional micromirror. Static and Dynamic Mechanical Behaviors of Electrostatic MEMS Resonator with Surface Processing Error is studied by Feng et al. [24]. They showed the resonance frequency and bifurcation behavior through dynamic analysis.

In this article, the active control and time delay control are applied on a nonlinear mechanical system subjected to external force is applied to reduce the vibration of the system (MEMS). The multiple scale perturbation technique (MSPT) was applied to obtain an approximate solution and showing the response equation. The stability of the system at primary resonance case is investigated using both of phase plane and frequency response equation. The numerical solution and the effect of the different parameters for the response of the nonlinear dynamic mechanical system.

2 Equation of Motion

Feng et al. [24] have been studied a model considering the effect of surface machining error on the thickness of the microbeam. The thickness of the microbeam is not constant due to the processing errors. The schematic diagram of microbeam is shown in Fig. (1). The shape of the microbeam is controlled by adjusting the value of section parameter $\lambda$. (a) case of $\lambda > 0$ (b) case of $\lambda < 0$.

The bending vibration equation of the system is obtained through force analysis. Since the main objective of [24] was to explore the main resonance problem in the nonlinear dynamics problem $y(x,t) = u(t)\phi(x)$, the first-order mode is considered that it was sufficient to obtain good results. So, Galerkin method is applied to
derive a reduced-order model, they expressed the deflection \( y(x,t) \) as: \( y(x,t) = u(t)\phi(x) \), where \( u(t) \) is the modal coordinate amplitude and \( \phi(x) \) is the mode shapes of the normalized undamped linear orthonormal.

\[
y(x,t) = u(t)\phi(x)
\]

**Fig. 1. The schematic diagram of microbeam**

The resonance frequency and bifurcation behavior can be obtained through dynamic analysis. Feng et al. [24] introduced the modal coordinate amplitude through dynamic analysis using the MSPT to investigate the response of the microresonator with small vibration amplitude around the stable equilibrium positions as \( u = u_s + u_d \), where

\( u_s \) is the response to DC voltage and \( u_d \) is the response to AC voltage. The terms representing the equilibrium position can be eliminated in the equation of motion that governs the transverse deflection. Since \( V_{AC} \) is far less than \( V_{DC} \) in the microresonator, the terms \( V_{DC} = O(1), V_{AC} = O(\varepsilon^3) \) and \( \varepsilon \) is regarded as a small non-dimensional parameter. So, Feng et al. [24] modified the equation of the system as follows:

\[
\ddot{u}_d + \omega_n^2 u_d + \varepsilon^2 \mu \dot{u}_d + a_q u_d^2 + a_c u_d^3 = \varepsilon^3 f \cos(\omega t)
\]

(1)

where:

\( u_d \) is the modal coordinate amplitude which to AC voltage, \( \omega_n \) is the internal frequency, \( \mu \) is the damping coefficient of the system, \( \omega \) is the alternating current excitation frequency, \( f \) is the external excitation force, \( a_c \) and \( a_q \) are the nonlinear parameters.

### 2.1 Active control

Using a negative linear velocity feedback controller connected to the nonlinear dynamical system; eqn. (1) can be represented as follows:

\[
\ddot{u} + \omega_n^2 u + \varepsilon^2 \mu \dot{u} + a_q u^2 + a_c u^3 = \varepsilon^3 f \cos(\omega t) - \varepsilon^2 G\dot{u}
\]

(2)

Applying the method of multiple scale

\[
u(t, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon u_1(T_0, T_1, T_2) + \varepsilon^2 u_2(T_0, T_1, T_2) + \varepsilon^3 u_3(T_0, T_1, T_2)
\]

(3)
where \( T_k = e^k t \). Eqn. (3) can be written as follows:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} = D_0 + \varepsilon D_1 + \varepsilon^2 D_2 + \ldots, \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} = D_0^2 + \varepsilon \left( 2D_0 D_1 \right) + \varepsilon^2 \left( D_1^2 + 2D_0 D_2 \right) + \ldots
\] (4)

where \( D_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_k}, \quad (k = 0, 1, 2) \).

Substituting equations (3) and (4) into equation (2), then equating the like order of \( \varepsilon \),the resulted equations are:

Order \( \varepsilon^1 \):

\[
\left( D_0^2 + \omega^2 \right) u_1 = 0
\] (5)

Order \( \varepsilon^2 \):

\[
\left( D_0^2 + \omega^2 \right) u_2 = -2D_0 D_1 u_1 - a_q u_1^2
\] (6)

Order \( \varepsilon^3 \):

\[
\left( D_0^2 + \omega^3 \right) u_3 = -2D_0 D_1 u_2 - \left( D_1^2 + 2D_0 D_2 + \mu D_0 \right) u_1 - 2a_q u_1 u_2 - a_q u_1^3 + f \cos \left( \Omega t \right) - GD_0 u_1
\] (7)

The general solution of equation (5) can be expressed in the form:

\[
u_1 = A(T_1, T_2) e^{i\omega T_0} + \overline{A}(T_1, T_2) e^{-i\omega T_0}
\] (8)

Substituting equation (8) into equation (6), the following equation is obtained:

\[
\left( D_0^2 + \omega^2 \right) u_2 = -2i\omega \left[ \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial T_1} \right) e^{i\omega T_0} - \left( \frac{\partial \overline{A}}{\partial T_1} \right) e^{-i\omega T_0} \right] - a_q \left[ A^2 e^{2i\omega T_0} + 2A\overline{A} + \overline{A}^2 e^{-2i\omega T_0} \right]
\] (9)

The secular term is eliminated if:

\[
-2i\omega \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial T_1} \right) + cc. = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial T_1} \right) = 0
\] (10)

which indicates that \( A \) is only a function of \( T_2 \).

Consider the primary resonance case: \( \Omega = s \omega \), the detuning parameter \( \sigma \) can be introduced as follows:

\[
\Omega = \omega + \varepsilon^2 \sigma
\] (11)

The general solution of eqns. (6) and (7) can be written as:

\[
u_2 = \frac{a_q}{3\omega^2} A^2 e^{2i\omega T_0} - \frac{2a_q}{\omega^2} A \overline{A} + \frac{a_q}{3\omega^2} \overline{A}^2 e^{-2i\omega T_0}
\] (12)
\[ u_3 = \left( \frac{a_q^2}{8\omega^2} + \frac{1a_q^2}{12\omega^4} \right) A^3 e^{3io\theta} + cc. \]  

Eliminating the secular term in eqn. (7), the following equation is obtained:

\[ -2i\omega(D_x A) - i\omega A - 3a_x A^2 \tilde{A} + \frac{10a_q^2}{3\omega^2} A^2 \tilde{A} - i\omega GA + \frac{f}{2} e^{io\theta}T = 0 \]  

It is convenient to express \( A \) in the polar form:

\[ A = \frac{1}{2} a(T_2) e^{i(\theta T_2)} \]

By substituting eqn. (15) into eqn. (14); separating the imaginary and real parts yield:

\[ \dot{a} = -\left( \frac{\mu + G}{2} \right) a + \frac{f}{2\omega} \sin \theta \]

\[ a(\sigma - \dot{\theta}) = \left( \frac{3a_x}{8\omega} - \frac{5a_q^2}{12\omega^3} \right) a^3 - \frac{f}{2\omega} \cos \theta \]

Where, \( \theta = \sigma T_2 - \beta \)

The steady-state response can be obtained by imposing the conditions: \( \dot{a} = \dot{\theta} = 0 \)

By applying the previous conditions, the frequency response equation can be derived as follows:

\[ \sigma^2 - \left( \frac{3a_x}{4\omega} - \frac{5a_q^2}{6\omega^3} \right) a^2 \sigma + \left( \frac{3a_x}{8\omega} - \frac{5a_q^2}{12\omega^3} \right) a^4 + \left( \frac{G + \mu}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{f}{2\omega a} \right)^2 = 0 \]

2.1.1 Linear solution

To study the stability of the linear solution of the obtained fixed points, let us consider \( A \), in the form:

\[ A(T_2) = \frac{1}{2} (p - iq) e^{i(\gamma T_2)} \]

The following equations have been got by substituting from eqn. (20) into the linear parts of eqn. (14) and equating real and imaginary parts.

\[ \dot{p} = -\left( \frac{\mu + G}{2} \right) p - \gamma q \]
\[ \dot{q} = \gamma p - \left( \frac{\mu + G}{2} \right) q \]  

(22)

The Characteristic equation can be written as:

\[ \lambda + \left( \frac{\mu + G}{2} \right)^2 + \gamma^2 = 0 \]  

(23)

The solutions of the eqn. (23) can be expressed as follows:

\[ \lambda_{1,2} = -\left( \frac{\mu + G}{2} \right) \pm i\gamma \]  

(24)

So, the linear solution is stable everywhere that the real part is always negative.

2.1.2 Nonlinear solution

To study the stability of the nonlinear solution of the obtained fixed points, let:

\[ a = a_0 + a_1, \quad \theta = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \]  

(25)

where \( a_0, \theta_0 \) are the solutions of eqns. (16) and (17) and \( a_1, \theta_1 \) are perturbations which are assumed to be small compared with \( a_0, \theta_0 \).

Substituting equation (25) into equations (16) and (17) and keeping only the linear terms in \( a_1, \theta_1 \), gives:

\[ \dot{a}_1 = -\left( \frac{\mu + G}{2} \right) a_1 + \frac{f}{2\omega} \cos(\theta_0) \theta_1 \]  

(26)

\[ \dot{\theta}_1 = \left[ \frac{\sigma}{a_0} - \left( \frac{9a_0 - 5a_0^2}{8\omega} \right) a_0 \right] a_1 - \frac{f}{2\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) \theta_1 \]  

(27)

The form of the characteristic equation is:

\[ \lambda^2 + \left( \frac{f}{2\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) + \left( \frac{\mu + G}{2} \right) \right) \lambda - \left[ \frac{\sigma}{a_0} - \left( \frac{9a_0 - 5a_0^2}{8\omega} \right) a_0 \right] \frac{f}{2\omega} \cos(\theta_0) + \left( \frac{\mu + G}{2} \right) \frac{f}{2\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) = 0 \]  

(28)

So, the solutions of eqn. (29) are:

\[ \lambda_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{4} \left( \mu + G + \frac{f}{2\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) \right) \pm \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\left( \mu + G + \frac{f}{2\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) \right)^2 - 16K} \]  

(29)

where \( K = \left( \frac{\mu + G}{2} \right) \frac{f}{2\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) - \left[ \frac{\sigma}{a_0} - \left( \frac{9a_0 - 5a_0^2}{8\omega} \right) a_0 \right] \frac{f}{2\omega} \cos(\theta_0) \)  

(30)
If the real part of the eigenvalue is negative, then the linear solution is stable; otherwise, it is unstable.

2.1.3 Numerical solution

The Runge-Kutta fourth-order method has been applied to determine the numerical solution of the equation (2) as shown in Fig. 2 at the selected values:

\[(\Omega = 3.066, \omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, a_q = 1, a_c = 1, f = 1.8, G = 4)\].

Fig. 2 shows the effect of using active control on the amplitude of the main system. Using negative gain feedback controller reduces the amplitude from 2 to 0.14. So, the reduction in the amplitude is about 93%. Numerical solution of the response equation represented in equation (19) have been discussed. Fig. 3 illustrates the effect of the varying parameters on the response curve at the primary resonance case \(\Omega \approx \omega\) under effect of the gain feedback controller. The solid line represents the stable region. While, the dotted line represents the unstable region. Fig. (3a) shows that the parameter of the natural frequency has hardening and softening nonlinearity effect. The effect of the damping coefficient on the response curve is illustrated in Fig. (3b). It shows that the amplitude is monotonic decreasing function and the amplitude is bent to right. The effect of nonlinear parameters is shown in Figs. (3c) and (3d). Fig. (3a) shows that the amplitude is monotonic increasing with varying of the excitation force \(f\) and the amplitude is bent to right. It is shown in Fig. (3e). Fig. (3f) illustrates that the amplitude is monotonic decreasing function in the parameter of gain feedback controller \(G\).

![Fig. 2. The time history of the main system and active control at primary resonance case \(\Omega \approx \omega\)](image)

![Fig. 3a. Effect of \(\omega\), the values of the parameters are: \(\mu = 0.003, a_q = 1, a_c = 1, f = 1.8, G = 0.1\)](image)
Fig. 3b. Effect of $\mu$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, a_q = 1, a_c = 1, f = 1.8, G = 0.1$

Fig. 3c. Effect of $a_c$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, a_q = 1, f = 1.8, G = 0.1$

Fig. 3d. Effect of $a_q$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, a_c = 1, f = 1.8, G = 0.1$

Fig. 3e. Effect of $f$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, a_c = 1, a_q = 1, G = 0.1$
Fig. 3f. Effect of $G$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, a_e = 1, a_q = 1, f = 1.8$

2.1.4 Comparison between the perturbation and the numerical solution

The comparison of the analytical solution- given by equations (26) and (27) - and the approximate solution of equation (2) at the case of active control have been shown in Fig. (4) and Fig. (5). Fig. (4) described the comparison in the time history and Fig. (5) described the comparison in the response curve. Figs. (4) and (5) show that there is a good agreement between both analytical and numerical solutions.

Fig. 4. Comparison between the analytic solution and the approximate solution at the case of active control (Time history)

Fig. (5). Comparison between the analytic solution and the approximate solution at the case of active control (Response curve)
2.2 Time delay control

Time delay control is one of the useful controls in reduction of the resulted vibration from the nonlinear dynamical system under external or parametric forces. The effect of the time delay control was investigated in the following.

The equation of system under consideration using time delay control is represented as follows:

\[ \ddot{u}(t) + \omega^2 u(t) + \varepsilon^2 \mu \dot{u}(t) + a\dot{u}^2(t) + \alpha u^3(t) = \varepsilon f \cos(\Omega t) - \varepsilon^2 G\dot{u}(t-\tau) \]  
\( (31) \)

The secular term will be:

\[ -2i\omega(D_2 A) + i\omega A - 3a \dot{A}^2 + \frac{10a^2}{3\omega^2} A^2 - i\omega GAe^{-i\varepsilon\tau} + \frac{f}{2} e^{i\varepsilon\tau_1} = 0 \]
\( (32) \)

By substituting eqn. (15) into eqn. (32); separating the imaginary and real parts yield:

\[ \dot{a} = -\frac{\mu}{2} a - \frac{G}{2} a \cos(\omega \tau) + \frac{f}{2\omega} \sin \theta \]
\( (33) \)

\[ a(\sigma - \dot{\theta}) = \frac{G}{2} a \sin(\omega \tau) + \left( \frac{3a}{8\omega} - \frac{5a^2}{12\omega^2} \right) a^3 - \frac{f}{2\omega} \cos \theta \]
\( (34) \)

Finally, by applying the conditions \( \dot{a} = \dot{\theta} = 0 \); the frequency response equation can be derived as follows:

\[ \sigma^2 \left[ \frac{3a}{4\omega} \frac{5a^2}{12\omega^2} a^3 + G \sin(\omega \tau) \right] \sigma \left( \frac{3a}{8\omega} \frac{5a^2}{12\omega^2} a^3 + \frac{3a}{8\omega} \frac{5a^2}{12\omega^2} a^3 \right) + \frac{2G}{2} \cos(\omega \tau) + \frac{\mu^2 + G^2}{4} \left( \frac{f}{2\omega} \right)^2 = 0 \]
\( (35) \)

2.2.1. Linear solution

Put: \( A(T_1) = \frac{1}{2}(p - iq)e^{\omega \tau} \) into the linear parts of eqn. (32) to study the stability of the linear solution; the equations which resulted after equating real and imaginary parts are:

\[ \dot{p} = - \left( \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{G}{2} \cos(\omega \tau) \right) p - \left( \gamma - \frac{G}{2} \sin(\omega \tau) \right) q \]
\( (36) \)

\[ \dot{q} = \left( \gamma - \frac{G}{2} \sin(\omega \tau) \right) p - \left( \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{G}{2} \cos(\omega \tau) \right) q \]
\( (37) \)

The Characteristic Eqn. can be expressed as follows:

\[ 4\lambda^2 + 4\left( \mu + G \cos(\omega \tau) \right) \lambda + \left( \mu^2 + G^2 + 4\gamma^2 + 2\mu G \cos(\omega \tau) - 4\gamma G \sin(\omega \tau) \right) = 0 \]
\( (38) \)

The solutions of eqn. (38) are:

\[ \lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu + G \cos(\omega \tau) \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left( \mu + G \cos(\omega \tau) \right)^2 - \left( \mu^2 + G^2 + 4\gamma^2 + 2\mu G \cos(\omega \tau) - 4\gamma G \sin(\omega \tau) \right)} \]
\( (39) \)
So, the linear solution is stable only if the real part of the eigenvalue in eqn. (39) is negative.

### 2.2.2 Nonlinear solution

Putting: \( a = a_0 + a_1, \ \theta = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \) into eqns. (33) and (34); the following equations are obtained:

\[
\dot{a}_1 = -\left( \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{G}{2} \cos(\omega \tau) \right) a_1 + \frac{f}{2 \omega} \cos(\theta_0) \theta_1
\]

\[
\dot{\theta}_1 = \left( \frac{\sigma}{a_0} - \frac{G}{2a_0} \sin(\omega \tau) - \left( \frac{9a_c}{8 \omega} - \frac{5a_c^2}{4 \omega^3} \right) a_0 \right) a_1 - \frac{f}{2 \omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) \theta_1
\]

The characteristic equation and its solutions can be expressed as follows:

\[
\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu + G \cos(\omega \tau) + \frac{f}{\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) \right) \lambda + H = 0
\]

where

\[
H = \left( \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{G}{2} \cos(\omega \tau) \right) \frac{f}{2 \omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) - \left( \frac{\sigma}{a_0} - \frac{G}{2a_0} \sin(\omega \tau) - \left( \frac{9a_c}{8 \omega} - \frac{5a_c^2}{4 \omega^3} \right) a_0 \right) \frac{f}{2 \omega} \cos(\theta_0)
\]

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \mu + G \cos(\omega \tau) + \frac{f}{\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) \right) \pm \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{ \left( \mu + G \cos(\omega \tau) + \frac{f}{\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) \right)^2 - 4 \left( \mu + G \cos(\omega \tau) + \frac{f}{\omega a_0} \sin(\theta_0) \right) H } + 64 H
\]

If the real part of the eigenvalue is negative, then the linear solution is stable; otherwise, it is unstable.

### 2.2.3 Numerical solution

The Runge-Kutta fourth-order method has been applied to determine the numerical solution of the equation (31) as shown in Fig. 6 at the selected values:

\[
(\Omega = 3.066, \ \omega = 3.066, \ \mu = 0.003, \ a_q = 1, a_c = 1, f = 1.8, G = 4, \tau = 0.1).\]

Effect of the time delay controller has shown in Fig. 6. It reduces the amplitude from 2 to 0.14. The reduction in the amplitude is about 93%. So, the effect of the negative gain feedback controller and the time delay controller is similar in reduction of the system vibration amplitude. The users of this system can use whether negative gain feedback controller or the time delay controller which available one of them. Numerical solution of the response equation represented in equation (35) have been discussed. Fig. 7 illustrates the effect of the varying parameters on the response curve at the primary resonance case \( \Omega = \omega \) under effect of the time delay controller. The solid line represents the stable region. While, the dotted line represents the unstable region. Fig. (7a) shows that the parameter of the natural frequency has hardening and softening nonlinearity effect. The effect of the damping coefficient on the response curve is illustrated in Fig. (7b). It shows that the amplitude is monotonic decreasing function and the amplitude is bent to right. The effect of nonlinear parameters is shown in Figs. (7c) and (7d). Fig. (7c) shows that the amplitude is monotonic decreasing function in the nonlinear parameter \( a_c \) and the amplitude is bent to right. Fig. (7d) shows that the nonlinear parameter \( a_q \) has hardening and softening nonlinearity effect. The amplitude is monotonic increasing with varying of the excitation force \( f \) and the amplitude is bent to right. It is shown in Fig. (7e).
Fig. (7f) illustrates that the amplitude is monotonic decreasing function in the parameter of gain feedback controller $G$. Fig. (7g) shows that the amplitude is monotonic increasing function in the parameter of time delay controller $\tau$.

Fig. 6. The time history of the main system and time delay control at primary resonance case $\Omega \cong \omega$

2.2.4 Comparison between the perturbation and the numerical solution

The comparison of the analytical solution - given by equations (40), (41) and the approximate solution of equation (31) at the case of time delay control have been shown in Fig. (8) and Fig. (9). Fig. (8) described the comparison in the time history and Fig. (9) described the comparison in the response curve. Figs. (8) and (9) show that there is a good agreement between both analytical and numerical solutions.

Fig. 7a. Effect of $\omega$, the values of the parameters are: $\mu = 0.003, \alpha_q = 1, \alpha_c = 1, f = 1.8, G = 0.1, \tau = 0.1$

Fig. (7b). effect of $\mu$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \alpha_q = 1, \alpha_c = 1, f = 1.8, G = 0.1, \tau = 0.1$
Fig. 7c. Effect of $a_c$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, \alpha = 1, f = 1.8, G = 0.1, \tau = 0.1$

Fig. 7d. Effect of $a_q$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, \alpha = 1, f = 1.8, G = 0.1, \tau = 0.1$

Fig. 7e. Effect of $f$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, \alpha = 1, \alpha_q = 1, G = 0.1, \tau = 0.1$

Fig. 7f. Effect of $G$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, \alpha = 1, \alpha_q = 1, f = 1.8, \tau = 0.1$
Fig. 7g. Effect of $\tau$, the values of the parameters are: $\omega = 3.066, \mu = 0.003, a_x = 1, a_y = 1, f = 1.8, G = 0.1$

Fig. 8. Comparison between the analytic solution and the approximate solution at the case of time delay (Time history)

Fig. 9. Comparison between the analytic solution and the approximate solution at the case of time delay (Response curve)

3 Conclusion

The resulted vibration of a nonlinear dynamic mechanical system of electrostatic MEMS resonator subjected to external force has been studied to be controlled. Active control method is applied to reduce this vibration via negative linear velocity feedback. Also, time delay controller is used in reduction of the system vibration. The system is described by a unique differential equation. Multiple Scale Perturbation Technique (MSPT) is
applied to determine an approximate solution for this system. The stability of the system near the primary resonance case is studied by applying the frequency response equation. A numerical integration of the system behavior without and with two controllers is studied. The results of this paper are reported:

1) Using negative gain feedback controller or time delay controller is effective in reduction about 93% of the system vibration amplitude.
2) The effect of the negative gain feedback controller and the time delay controller is similar in reduction of the system vibration amplitude.
3) The effectiveness of the controllers is about $E_a(u) = 2000$ for the main system.
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